HOUSE BILL 430

D3, E4

By: **Delegate Wilkins** Introduced and read first time: January 30, 2023 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

$\mathbf{2}$

Police Immunity and Accountability Act

3 FOR the purpose of specifying that a police officer who subjects or causes to be subjected 4 any individual to the deprivation of certain rights under the Maryland Constitution $\mathbf{5}$ or the U.S. Constitution is liable for certain damages; establishing that a police 6 officer is not immune from civil or criminal liability for violations of another 7 individual's constitutional rights; requiring the Maryland Police Training and 8 Standards Commission to review certain cases brought under this Act; requiring an 9 officer's employer or a local jurisdiction to satisfy certain judgments or settlements; requiring an officer's employer or a local jurisdiction to seek certain reimbursement; 1011 and generally relating to actions against police officers and government liability.

- 12 BY adding to
- 13 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 14Section 5–1301 and 5–1302 to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 13. Police15Immunity and Accountability Act"
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2022 Supplement)
- 18 Preamble
- WHEREAS, The Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution protects
 individuals from biased and discriminatory policing; and

21 WHEREAS, Every Maryland resident has the right to fair, safe, and equitable 22 policing; and

WHEREAS, In order to hold police officers accountable and to promote proper policing, an officer who violates another individual's constitutional rights under the State or U.S. Constitution is not immune from civil or criminal liability for the violation; now, therefore,

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



3lr0597

HOUSE BILL 430

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

3

Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings

4 SUBTITLE 13. POLICE IMMUNITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT.

5 **5–1301.**

6 (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 7 INDICATED.

8 (B) "LOCAL JURISDICTION" MEANS A COUNTY OR MUNICIPAL 9 CORPORATION.

10 (C) "MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION" MEANS 11 THE UNIT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL 12 SERVICES ESTABLISHED UNDER § 3–202 OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY ARTICLE.

13 (D) "OFFICER" MEANS A POLICE OFFICER AS DEFINED IN § 3–201 OF THE 14 PUBLIC SAFETY ARTICLE.

15 **5–1302.**

16 (A) AN OFFICER WHO SUBJECTS OR CAUSES TO BE SUBJECTED ANY 17 INDIVIDUAL TO THE DEPRIVATION OF ANY RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, OR IMMUNITIES 18 SECURED BY THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION OR THE U.S. CONSTITUTION SHALL 19 BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES BROUGHT IN AN ACTION AGAINST THE OFFICER.

20 (B) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW, AN OFFICER WHO 21 VIOLATES ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE 22 MARYLAND CONSTITUTION OR THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IS NOT IMMUNE FROM 23 CIVIL OR CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR THE VIOLATION.

(c) (1) STATUTORY IMMUNITY PROVIDED UNDER SUBTITLE 5 OF THIS
TITLE OR TITLE 12, SUBTITLE 1 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE OR COMMON
LAW PUBLIC OFFICIAL IMMUNITY DOES NOT APPLY TO A CLAIM BROUGHT UNDER
THIS SECTION.

28 (2) QUALIFIED IMMUNITY IS NOT A DEFENSE TO LIABILITY OR AN 29 IMMUNITY FROM SUIT UNDER THIS SECTION.

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 (D) THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION 2 SHALL:

3 (1) REVIEW ANY CASE BROUGHT UNDER THIS SECTION IN WHICH AN 4 OFFICER OR OFFICER'S EMPLOYER:

 $\mathbf{5}$

(I) WAS HELD LIABLE; OR

6

(II) ENTERED INTO A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; AND

7 (2) DETERMINE WHETHER THE OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION TO WORK 8 IN THE STATE SHOULD BE REVOKED.

9 (E) (1) IN AN ACTION BROUGHT UNDER THIS SECTION, THE COURT SHALL 10 AWARD REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COURT COSTS TO A PREVAILING 11 PLAINTIFF.

12 (2) EACH SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR AN ACTION BROUGHT 13 UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL PROVIDE THAT THE PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO 14 REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COURT COSTS.

15 (F) (1) IN AN ACTION BROUGHT UNDER THIS SECTION, ANY FINAL 16 JUDGMENT OR SETTLEMENT AGAINST THE OFFICER OR THE OFFICER'S EMPLOYER 17 OR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION WHERE THE OFFICER IS EMPLOYED SHALL BE 18 ENTERED AND SATISFIED BY THE OFFICER'S EMPLOYER OR THE LOCAL 19 JURISDICTION WHERE THE OFFICER IS EMPLOYED.

20 (2) THE OFFICER'S EMPLOYER OR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION WHERE 21 THE OFFICER IS EMPLOYED SHALL SEEK REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE OFFICER FOR 22 5% OR \$25,000, WHICHEVER IS LESS, FROM ANY FINAL JUDGMENT OR SETTLEMENT 23 ENTERED AGAINST THE OFFICER'S EMPLOYER OR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION WHERE 24 THE OFFICER IS EMPLOYED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.

(G) THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO LIMIT THE RIGHT OF AN
INDIVIDUAL TO SEEK REMEDIES OTHERWISE AVAILABLE UNDER ANY OTHER
PROVISION OF LAW.

28 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be construed to 29 apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or 30 application to any cause of action arising before the effective date of this Act.

31 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 32 October 1, 2023.