Drafting of pharmaceutical applications

Dr. Sefi Raz

Webb + Co.

October 6, 2021





Lines of patent protection

- Compounds + first medical use
- Enantiomers/isomers/polymorphs and PSD
- Synthesis
- Formulations
- Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics
- Second medical use
- Combinations





[THE LUTHI+WEBB GROUP]

2nd generation patent protection-OB patents of TACROLIMUS XR TABLET

| Patent No | Patent Expiration | Drug Substance | Drug Product | 🜲 Patent Use Code | Delist Requested | Submission Date |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 7994214 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8486993 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | U-1752 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8586084 | 08/30/2024 | | | U-1752 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8591946 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8617599 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8623410 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8623411 | 08/30/2024 | | | U-1752 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8664239 | 08/30/2028 | | | U-1752 U-2677 U-2678 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8685998 | 08/30/2028 | | DP | U-1752 U-2677 U-2678 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8889185 | 08/30/2024 | | | U-1752 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 8889186 | 08/30/2024 | | | U-1752 | | 08/24/2015 |
| 9161907 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | U-1752 | | 11/13/2015 |
| 9549918 | 05/30/2028 | | DP | | | 05/02/2017 |
| 9757362 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 12/20/2017 |
| 9763920 | 08/30/2024 | | DP | | | 12/20/2017 |
| 10166190 | 05/30/2028 | | DP | | | 02/14/2019 |
| 10548880 | 08/30/2024 | | | U-2677 U-2678 | | 07/28/2020 |
| 10864199 | 05/30/2028 | | | U-2677 U-2678 | | 01/06/2021 |
| 11110081 | 05/30/2028 | | | U-2678 | | 09/16/2021 |

Formulation patents

Difficult to obtain
 Can be easily circumvented

4

Why is it difficult to obtain a formulation patent?

Obviousness rejection:

a formulation is produced by a combination of known excipients thereby allowing the Examiners to assemble several prior art documents, each disclosing a different excipient, and allege arriving at the claimed formulation.

Inherent obviousness rejection:

While assembling several prior art documents to arrive at the claimed formulation, the Examiners may allege that any unexpected results or properties are inherent.



Formulations are highly dependent on ingredients, amounts thereof and methods of preparation

Bord Decision in Appeal No. 2012-008189 (Appl. No. 12/002,165), dated March 20, 2015:

"a croissant and bread may share identical ingredients of milk, yeast, sugar, salt, flour, oil, and egg, but differ in proportions and process, to yield different final products."



Obviousness of ranges – MPEP 2144.05

In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists.

"[A] prior art reference that discloses a range encompassing a somewhat narrower claimed range is sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness."



Let's take a closer look – case study





US patent covering a pharmaceutical composition

1. An aqueous composition comprising:

wherein the composition comprises from 5% to 90% by weight of water based on the total weight of the composition ...



....

Obviousness rejection

Regarding limitation added to claim 1 as "wherein the composition comprises from 5% to 90% by weight of water based on total weight of composition". The reference teaches such compositions and overlaps with claimed ranges. Applicants have not shown any criticality and unexpected results. Furthermore, those of ordinary skill in the

Consistent with this reasoning, it would have obvious to have selected various combinations of various disclosed ingredients from within a prior art disclosure, to arrive compositions "yielding no more than one would expect from such an arrangement". In



Easily designed around?

- Replace excipients
- Avoid using some excipients
- Use excipients which are explicitly excluded from the scope of the patent
- Use the same excipients in difference amounts
- Perform structural alterations





Timing is everything

| Early filing - pros | Early filing - cons | 7 |
|--|--|---|
| beat the "first to file" race | The invention has not yet matured – formulation is not yet final | |
| Initiate the prosecution | | |
| Obtain a patent before regulatory approval | | |





How to draft a good application covering a new formulation ?

- Tell a story the gist of the invention
- Determine prior art boundaries
- Gather sufficient supporting data
- Determine workable ranges
- Prepare fallback positions



The gist of the invention



The present invention is based, in part, on the unexpected finding...



Determine prior art boundaries

Perform a search



Draft embodiments that do not overlap with the prior art ranges



do's and don't's

- If the prior art recites a range of 50-100%
- ★ A range of about 30% to about 50%

A range of about 30% to about 40%
A range of about 30% to about 45%



Gather sufficient supporting data

Go through development notebooks and determine the sufficiency of existing data

Design experiments to help support the inventiveness (over the prior art)



Determine workable ranges

of major components: For aqueous compositions, the percentage of water is from 5% to 90% by weight... from 5% to 50% by weight... from 8% to 20% by weight based on the total weight of the composition

of minor components: Draft as many embodiments pertaining to different minor components as possible



Prepare fallback positions

- At least 0.001%, 0.01%, 0.1%, 1%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, or 99%
- **×** ranging from 0.001% to 100%

- In one embodiment, ...at least 0.001%. In another embodiment,
 ... at least 0.01% etc.
- ✓ In one embodiment,... ranging from 0.001% to 0.1%. In another embodiment,... ranging from 1% to 5% etc.



Questions?



sefi.raz@wbpatents.com

