

Develop Knowledge, Build theory

- Agarwal, R. & Hoetker, G. 2007. A Faustian bargain? The growth of management and its relationship with related disciplines. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 1304-1322.
- Alvesson, M. and Sandberg, J. (2012), Has Management Studies Lost Its Way? Ideas for More Imaginative and Innovative Research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50 (1), 128-152.
- Alvesson, M. & Sandberg, J. 2011 *Generating research questions through problematization*. *Academy of Management Review*, 36 2.
- Alvesson, M., & Kärreman, D. (2007). Constructing mystery: Empirical matters in theory development. *Academy of Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2007.26586822>
- Bogna, F., Raineri, A., & Dell, G. (2020). Critical realism and constructivism: merging research paradigms for a deeper qualitative study. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, 15(4), 461–484.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/QROM-06-2019-1778>
- Busse, C., Kach, A. P., & Wagner, S. M. (2017). *Boundary Conditions: What They Are, How to Explore Them, Why We Need Them, and When to Consider Them*. *Organizational Research Methods* (Vol. 20).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428116641191>
- Colquitt, J. A., & Zapata, C. P. (2007). Trends in theory building and theory testing: A five-decade study of *Academy of Management Journal*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 1281-1303.
- Cornelissen, J. P. & Durand, R. (2014), Moving Forward: Developing Theoretical Contributions in Management Studies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51: 995–1022
- Cornelissen, J.P. (2016), Preserving theoretical divergence in management research: Why the explanatory potential of qualitative research should be harnessed rather than suppressed, *Journal of Management Studies*.
- Corley, K. & Gioia, D. (2011), Building theory about theory building: What constitutes a theoretical contribution?, *Academy of Management Review*, 36 (1): 12-32
- Durand, R., & Vaara, E. 2009. *Causation*, counterfactuals and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(12): 1245-1264.
- Felin, T. & Foss, N.J. (2009). Social reality, the boundaries of self-fulfilling prophecy, and economics. *Organization Science*, 654-668.
- Ferraro, F., J. Pfeffer, R. I. Sutton. 2005. Economics language and assumptions: How theories can become self-fulfilling. *Academy of Management Review*, 30 8–24.

- Hambrick, D.C., "The field of management's devotion to theory: Too much of a good thing?", *Academy of Management Journal*, 2007, 50 (6), 1346-1352.
- Hirsch, Paul M., and Daniel Z. Levin. "Umbrella Advocates Versus Validity Police: A Life-cycle Model". *Organization Science* 10.2 (1999): 199–212
- Locke K. and Golden-Biddle K. (1997) 'Constructing Opportunities for Contribution: Structuring Intertextual Coherence and "Problematizing" in Organizational Studies'. *Academy of Management Journal* (40)5: 1023-1062.
- Morgeson, F. P., & Hofmann, D. A. (1999). The Structure and Function of Collective Constructs : Implications for Multilevel Research and Theory Development
Author (s): Frederick P .
- Okhuysen, G., & Bonardi, J.P. 2011. The challenges of theory building through the combination of lenses. *Academy of Management Review*, 36, 1, 6-12.
- Petriglieri, G. (2020). Are our management theories outdated ? *Havard Business Review*, June, 1–13.
- Pfeffer, J. (1993) "Barriers to the advancement of organizational science: paradigm development as a dependent variable," *Academy of Management Review*, 18: 599–620.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., & Podsakoff, N.P. (2016). Recommendations for Creating Better Concept Definitions in the Organizational, Behavioral, and Social Sciences. *Organizational Research Methods*.
- Sandberg, J., & Alvesson, M. (2011). Ways of constructing research questions: Gap-spotting or problematization? *Organization*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508410372151>
- Shapira, Z. (2011), "I've Got a Theory Paper---Do You?": Conceptual, Empirical, and Theoretical Contributions to Knowledge in the Organizational Sciences. *Organization Science*.
- Suddaby, R. 2010. Construct clarity in theories of management and organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 35 (3) 346-358.
- Suddaby, R.(2014), Editor's comments: Why theory? , *Academy of Management Review*, 39, 407-411.
- Sutton, R. I. and Staw, B. M. (1995) What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly*; 40:371-384.
- Tsang, E.W.K, & Elsaesser, F. 2011. How Contrastive Explanation Facilitates Theory Building. *Academy of Management Review*, 36, 2.
- Weick, K. E. (1989). Theory construction as disciplined imagination. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 516-531.

Methodology

- Alvesson, M. (2003). Beyond neopositivists, romantics, and localists: A reflexive approach to interviews in organizational research. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(1), 13–33. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2003.8925191>
- Berends, H., & Deken, F. (2021). Composing qualitative process research. *Strategic Organization*, 19(1), 134–146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127018824838>
- Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., Dicenso, A., Blythe, J., & Neville, A. J. (2014). The use of triangulation in qualitative research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.1188/14.ONF.545-547>
- Cook, S. D. N., & Brown, J. S. (1999). Bridging Epistemologies: The Generative Dance Between Organizational Knowledge and Organizational Knowing. *Organization Science*, 10(4), 381–400. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.10.4.381>
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2011). Grounded theory methodology FU Skript. *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, 000, 273–285.
- Cunliffe, A. L. (n.d.). Opening the Epistemological “Black Box” Four Key Issues ... At Least... The “Old” Dualism Subjectivism).
- Cunliffe, A. L. (2011a). Crafting qualitative research: Morgan and smircich 30 years on. *Organizational Research Methods*, 14(4), 647–673. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428110373658>
- Cunliffe, A. L. (2016). “On Becoming a Critically Reflexive Practitioner” Redux: What Does It Mean to Be Reflexive? *Journal of Management Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562916668919>
- Cunliffe, A. L. (2018). Intersubjective Voices: The Role of the “Theorist.” *Administrative Theory & Praxis*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10841806.2003.11029425>
- Edmondson, A. C., & Mcmanus, S. E. (2007). Methodological Fit in Management Field Research. *Source: The Academy of Management Review*.
- Fusch, P., Fusch, G., & Ness, L. (2018). Denzin’s Paradigm Shift: Revisiting Triangulation in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Social Change*.
- Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2013). Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research: Notes on the Gioia Methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428112452151>
- Haig, B. D. (2005). An abductive theory of scientific method. *Psychological Methods*, 10(4), 371–388. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.10.4.371>
- Ketokivi, M., & Mantere, S. (2010). Two strategies for inductive reasoning in organizational research. *Academy of Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2010.48463336>
- Langley, A., & Tsoukas, H. (2011). Introducing “ Perspectives on Process

Organization Studies.” *Process, Sensemaking, and Organizing*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199594566.003.0001>

Locke, K., Golden-Biddle, K., & Feldman, M. S. (2008). Perspective—Making Doubt Generative: Rethinking the Role of Doubt in the Research Process. *Organization Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1080.0398>

Thompson, M. (2011). Ontological shift or ontological drift? Reality claims, epistemological frameworks, and theory generation in organization studies. *Academy of Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2010.0070>