Who, what, that, which...

In this case, "we don't say what it is about" – the pronoun refers to nothing in the sentence!

Also, there is no comma before the pronoun (who or what)!

♣ In this meaning, the pronouns what, who (whom) or where and when can be used.

For a person, who (whom), for animals (usually), for plants and things, what, for places, where and for times, when is used.

♣ Such clauses are equivalent to a noun. In the examples, the clauses are either the <u>subject</u> or <u>object</u> of the sentence:

Give me what is on the table.

What is on the table is mine.

Show me where you live.

Show me who did this.

Tell me when you got home.

I didn't know what was wrong with the computer.

Show me where you live . – Show me the house .

What is on the table is mine. – The book is mine.

Show me who did this. - Show me the child.

Give me what is on the table. - Give me the cup.

Tell me when it happened. – Tell me the time.

I didn't know what was wrong with the computer . – I didn't know the problem .

♣ Be careful! A statement does not start with *who* (except in some rare cases).

In this example, we had to find a different word instead of "who":

Who is here gets a cookie.

Whoever is here gets a cookie.

Anyone who is here gets a cake.

Here, the subordinate clause refers to a noun/pronoun before it. Such clauses are called *relative clauses*. In this case, we can call them *defining relative clauses* or restrictive relative clauses*, because they "define" the noun in front of them—they usually answer question "which". They either define the noun/pronoun precisely, or if not precisely, they at least significantly narrow the range of possibilities.

- **♣** In this case, there is no comma before the pronoun!
- ♣ The following pronouns can be used here:

for a person, that and who (whom) can be used, and they can sometimes be omitted (in official English, whom is used in the object form, but in colloquial English, who is used in this case, too) for non-humans, that and which are used and can sometimes be omitted where is used for places and when for times.

- ♣ For a person, who sounds better than that if followed by a verb! But of course that is also perfectly fine.
- ♣ In these sentences, the subordinate clause defines the noun before it precisely:

This is the town where I was born.

Budapest is the town where I was born.

Give me the book which/that is on the table.

Dolores is the girl that Pete loves.

Jan. 2nd is the day when Al got married.

The book which/that is on the table is very expensive.

This is the house which/that we live in.

Where is the book that/which I bought yesterday?

Show me the girl who/that helped you. (helped is a verb – here who is nicer)

The girl who/that lives next door is Irish. (lived is a verb – who is more beautiful)

Maca is the girl that/who/whom I love.

This is the girl that/who/whom I work with.

♣ Here, the subordinate clause does not define the noun exactly, but it narrows down the possibilities.

He is a boy who goes to that school.

(Many boys go to that school, but far fewer than there are boys in the world.)

↑ The pronouns that, who (whom), which and can be omitted from the sentence if they are not followed by a verb!

This is the house <u>we</u> live in. (We is not a verb.)

Where is the book I bought yesterday? (I is not a verb.)

Dolores is the girl **Pete** loves. (**Pete** is not a verb.)

Maca is the girl I love. (I is not a verb.)

This is the girl <u>I</u> work with. (I is not a verb.)

♠ After a preposition, only use which (for animals, plants and things)!

This is the house in which we live.

After a preposition (in the case of a person) only use whom!

This is the girl with whom I work.

After a preposition *who* is also possible if the question consists of only two words: the preposition and who:

With who? (colloquial) = *With whom?* (formal)

For who? (colloquial) = *For whom?* (formal)

♦ *Which* (slightly more formal) and *that* are roughly equivalent, but in many cases *which* should not be used, only *that*. For example (these are only some of the more common cases):

After some..., any..., no..., every...,

the superlative of adjectives or an ordinal number.

Examples (here you can also omit *that* if no verb comes after it):

Everything that/– you see here is an illusion. = Everything you see here is an illusion.

I saw some books here that weren't mine. = I saw some books here that are not mine.

I did something that/– *I regretted.* = *I did something that I regret.*

Nothing that/– *he said was true.* = *Nothing he said was true.*

She is the prettiest girl that/– *I have ever seen.* = *She is the prettiest girl I have ever seen.*

car no. 53 was the first car that arrived. = Car number 53 was the first to arrive.

You don't need to learn all this, use *that* instead of *which* (except, of course, after a preposition – see above) and you won't have a problem!

♣ When the relative pronoun is the subject and it is followed by some form of *to be*, we can often omit both (this is rare in a negative sentence). I won't give a rule—it's safer not to omit the relative pronoun. You only need to recognize such a structure.

In the examples, I underlined the part that can be omitted:

I saw a book that/which was lying on the floor. \rightarrow I saw a book lying on the floor.

When the relative pronoun is the subject but it is followed by another verb than a form of *to be*, in many cases, the relative pronoun can be omitted like with *to be* (this is also rare in negative sentences). However, in this case, **regardless of the tense, the main verb will be in its –ing** form (and there will be no other verb next to it)! In the examples, I underlined the part that was changed:

Give me the book that lies on the table. \rightarrow Give me the book lying on the table.

The man who worked hardest was rewarded. \rightarrow *The man working the hardest was rewarded.*

- II. 2.1 Here too, the subordinate clause refers to a noun/pronoun before it. Such clauses are called *relative clauses*. In this case, we can call them *non-defining relative clauses* or nonrestrictive relative clauses, because they do not define the noun in front of them (they do not answer the question "which?"), because we know exactly which one it is even without the relative clause.
- ♣ The following pronouns can be used here (as you can see, *that* cannot be used here!):

for a person, who (whom)

(in official English, whom is used in the object form, but in colloquial English, who is used in this case, too) for non-humans, which

where for places and when for times.

• In this case there is a comma before the pronoun, or the entire clause is between commas

Give me my ID card, which is on the table. (I have one) My car, which is pink, is a very nice car. (I have one car) His mother, who is 100 years old, can swim quite well. Look at Maca, who is the most beautiful girl in the class. I bought my son's present, which was not cheap.

- ♠ Only which can be used after a preposition (similarly to II. 1.). This is their garden, in which they grow grass. After a preposition you can only use whom for a person (similarly to II. 1.)! This is Hagatha, with whom I work.
- ♣ Similarly to II. 1., when the relative pronoun is the subject and it is followed by some form of *to be*, we can often omit both (this is rare in a negative sentence). In the examples, I underlined the changed part:

Look at Maca, who is the most beautiful girl in the class. \(\to\)Look at Maca, the most beautiful girl in the class.

Look at Maca, who is wearing that beautiful dress. \rightarrow Look at Maca, wearing that beautiful dress.

II. 2.b Here, the subordinate clause refers to a "sentence" (main clause) before it. Only which can be used here and it must be preceded by a comma (like in point II. 2.a)!

<u>I had to get up at six o'clock,</u> which was terrible.

It applies to the whole main clause. (It wasn't *o'clock* that was terrible!)

I was an hour late for work yesterday, which made the boss cry.

(It wasn't yesterday that made the boss cry, but that I was late.)

Let us see a nice example now! (You can see why it is so similar to point II. 2.a)

a) I lost my mobile phone, which made me angry.

If it was the loss that made you angry, then it is 2.b.)

b) I lost my mobile phone, which made me angry.

If it was the phone that made you angry, then it is 2.a.)

♣ Here, too, we can omit which if it is the subject of the sentence and it is followed by a form of to be or if the verb after which is not a form of to be then it is in its -ing form regardless of the tense (just like in II.1 and II. 2.a.) Let us see an example:

More and more cars are stolen, which represents an alarming tendency.

→ More and more cars are stolen, representing an alarming tendency.

SOME EXTRA STUFF

♦ Whose can also be used for inanimate things, either in a defining or non-defining sense! Examples:

This is the girl whose father is a millionaire.

This is Maca, whose father is a hitman.

This is the house whose windows were broken yesterday.

This is Joe's house, whose windows were broken yesterday.

Finally, here are some interesting examples:

 \clubsuit My brother who is a doctor lives in America. = My brother, who is a doctor, lives in America. (one of my many brothers)

My brother, who is a doctor, lives in America. = My brother, who is a doctor, lives in America. (I only have one brother)

 \clubsuit I dedicate this book to my wife Maca, who helped a lot. = I recommend this book to my Maca wife, who helped me a lot. (No comma before Maca – I'm a polygamist!)

I dedicate this book to my wife, Maca, who helped a lot. = I dedicate this book to my wife, Maca, who helped me a lot. (There is a comma before Maca – I only have one wife.)