Hemodynamic and prognostic impact of the diastolic pulmonary arterial pressure in children with pulmonary arterial hypertension—a registry-based analysis
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Background: Diastolic pulmonary arterial pressure (dPAP) is regarded to be less sensitive to flow metrics as compared to mean PAP (mPAP), and was therefore proposed for the assessment of a precapillary component in patients with postcapillary pulmonary hypertension (PH). To analyze the diagnostic and prognostic impact of dPAP in patients with pure precapillary PH, we proposed to compare the correlation between dPAP and mPAP, as well as hemodynamically-derived calculations [ratio of PAP to systemic arterial pressure (PAP/SAP), pulmonary vascular resistance index (PVRI), transpulmonary gradient (TPG)], using both dPAP and mPAP, at rest and during acute vasoreactivity testing (AVT) in children with idiopathic or heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH/HPAH). Furthermore, we aimed to assess the association of these metrics (at baseline and changes after AVT) with transplant-free survival.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis of the TOPP (Tracking Outcomes and Practice in Pediatric Pulmonary Hypertension) registry including 246 IPAH/HPAH patients. Of these, 45 children (18.3%) died, and 13 (5.3%) received lung transplantation during the observation period.

Results: dPAP and mPAP-derived variables showed almost linear relationship. Higher mPAP/mSAP, and dPAP-/mPAP-derived PVRI at rest was associated with time to death/transplantation. At maximum AVT-response, the decrease of dPAP and mPAP, diastolic pulmonary gradient (DPG) and TPG, as well as dPAP/ dSAP and mPAP/mSAP was associated with time to death/transplantation, showing higher significance than corresponding baseline values. Remarkably, no predictive value was found for PVRI-reduction during AVT, neither dPAP- nor mPAP-derived.

Conclusions: There is a strong relationship between dPAP and mPAP-derived variables. According to our results, hemodynamics during AVT (irrespectively of dPAP- or mPAP-derived) may have more prognostic implications than resting hemodynamics in children with IPAH/HPAH, except for PVRI.
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Introduction

Idiopathic or heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH/HPAH) is a life-threatening disease caused by pathologic remodeling of the pulmonary arteries leading to an increase of pulmonary vascular resistance, and as a consequence also pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP), a condition that might potentially result in right ventricular failure, and eventually death (1,2).

Since PAH is usually defined by the value of mean PAP (mPAP) and (mPAP-derived) pulmonary vascular resistance index {PVRI = [mPAP – pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP)]/pulmonary blood flow} (3,4), invasive assessment by cardiac catheterization is an essential part of diagnostic work-up in children with PAH (5). Furthermore, the invasively assessed hemodynamic variables and its changes during acute vasoreactivity testing (AVT) serve for risk stratification in pediatric IPAH/HPAH (6).

Cardiac catheterization is usually performed according to systematic protocols and includes amongst other parameters systolic, mean and diastolic systemic arterial, as well as systolic PAP, mPAP and diastolic PAP (dPAP), and the PAWP. In addition, invasive hemodynamic assessment includes calculation or measurement of cardiac output (CO) and quantification of PVRI, and the transpulmonary gradient (TPG = mPAP – mPAWP). In both, children and adults with PAH, these hemodynamic values are used to grade the severity of adverse pulmonary vascular remodelling and have shown to be associated with the patients’ clinical status, right ventricular dysfunction and outcome (7-9).

Which of the assessed parameters during AVT is superior to predict favorable outcome has not been systematically studied in children so far. According to current recommendations, mPAP (derived from the area under the pressure curve and defined as the pressure level that divides the area under the pressure curve into two equal parts) is generally used for the definition of PAH, estimation of disease severity and the identification of vasoreactive response (in addition to CO and/or PVR depending of the definition). However, potential drawback of mPAP might be the dependency on CO and pulmonary arterial compliance, as has been postulated by studies in patients with postcapillary pulmonary hypertension (PH) (10,11). Naeije et al. as well as Gerges et al. were able to demonstrate that dPAP may be less sensitive to flow metrics as compared to mPAP, which was the rationale in the past of proposing diastolic pulmonary gradient (DPG = dPAP – mPAWP) rather than TPG for the assessment of a precapillary component in patients with group 2 PH (10,11).

While the interest around the utility of dPAP and DPG as a hemodynamic marker has been primarily centered on the phenotype of PH due to left heart disease, it could also be of interest to see if there is a major difference in hemodynamic derivations from dPAP and mPAP in patients with pure precapillary PH (12).

Accordingly, we conducted a registry-based analysis of the international TOPP (Tracking Outcomes and Practice in Pediatric Pulmonary Hypertension) registry in order to compare the correlation between dPAP and mPAP, as well as hemodynamically-derived calculations [ratio of PAP to systemic arterial pressure (PAP/SAP), PVRI, TPG], using both dPAP and mPAP, at rest and during AVT. In addition, we aimed to assess the association of these metrics (at baseline and changes after AVT) with transplant-free survival.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-934).

Methods

TOPP is a center-based registry covering 31 centers in 19 countries, which was initiated on January 31st, 2008 (1). TOPP collects data on the assessment, treatment and follow-up of pediatric PH patients as performed by judgment of their treating physician. Participating centers include consecutive patients aged between 3 months and 18 years, presenting with PAH or with PH groups 3–5 (classified according to the 2003 3rd World Pulmonary Hypertension Symposium) and diagnosed on or after January 1st, 2001 (1). Patients were eligible for TOPP inclusion when meeting pre-specified hemodynamic criteria: mPAP of $\geq 25$ mmHg, PVRI of $\geq 3$ WU·m$^{-2}$ and a mean PAWP of $\leq 12$ mmHg.

The TOPP executive board members reviewed all cardiac catheterization data sets to confirm diagnosis and
recalculated PVRI and CO by the Fick method using
a single assumed oxygen consumption table (13) or the
recorded CO as measured by thermodilution (if performed).

For the current study, data from the final data export in
2019 were analyzed.

Patients in whom no AVT was performed at diagnostic
catheterization, or the administered vasodilator during
AVT was considered inadequate (including FiO
2 <0.45
or unknown agents) were excluded from the analyses.
Furthermore, patients with incomplete pressure or flow
data at AVT were excluded.

The study was conducted in accordance with the
Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The protocol
was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the
Justus-Liebig-University Giessen (approval number 33/08)
on behalf of the participating centers. Informed consent has
been obtained from all patients and/or their legal guardians.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, or number
(percentage) of patients. Statistical comparisons were made
using t-test for normally distributed variables, Mann-
Whitney U test for not-normally distributed variables, and
Chi-Square test for categorical variables, as appropriate.
Correlations were assessed by linear regression. Outcome
analyses were based on transplant-free survival from
hemodynamic evaluation, with death and transplantation
as end-points. Patients without end-point were censored
at last follow-up visit. Variables were split on their median
for analyses with Kaplan-Meier curves. Subsequently,
the correlation of continuous hemodynamic parameters
with survival was evaluated by using Cox regression
[hazard ratios (HRs)] for time to death/transplantation. A
receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to
identify the best threshold value (maximum sensitivity and
specificity) for prediction of death/lung transplantation
events. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics
version 25.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). The level of
significance was defined as P<0.05.

Results

Six hundred and eighty-six consecutive children with
confirmed PH were enrolled in the registry. Three hundred
and thirty-one children (48%) were diagnosed with IPAH/
HPAH and were eligible for the study, 246 of them (mean
age 7.6±5.1 years, 63% female) with evaluable AVT (111
prevalent, 135 incident patients). The majority of patients
(74.8%) were in NYHA Functional class II and III, 10.6%
in class IV . T wenty-six patients (10.6%) were classified as
AVT responder according to Sitbon criteria (14,15).

Comparison dPAP versus mPAP

Invasively assessed hemodynamic measurements at baseline
and at maximum response as well as percentage decrease of
these values at maximum response from baseline during
AVT are presented in Table 1. Interestingly, dPAP variables
showed smaller absolute reduction, but greater percentage

Table 1 Hemodynamic measurements at baseline and at maximum response as well as percentage reduction at maximum response from baseline
during AVT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Maximum response</th>
<th>Percentage reduction</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dPAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>40.9±16.0</td>
<td>32.3±17.2</td>
<td>22.3±25.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>58.2±17.9</td>
<td>47.5±20.1</td>
<td>18.9±19.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPAP-derived PVRI (WU·m⁻²)</td>
<td>11.4±8.3</td>
<td>8.4±8.4</td>
<td>36.0±38.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP-derived PVRI (WU·m⁻²)</td>
<td>17.5±11.5</td>
<td>13.4±11.0</td>
<td>26.5±28.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPAP/dSAP</td>
<td>0.78±0.31</td>
<td>0.61±0.34</td>
<td>22.7±27.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP/mSAP</td>
<td>0.87±0.28</td>
<td>0.71±0.32</td>
<td>20.1±21.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPG (mmHg)</td>
<td>32.6±16.1</td>
<td>23.3±17.4</td>
<td>34.5±37.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG (mmHg)</td>
<td>49.9±18.1</td>
<td>38.7±20.4</td>
<td>24.2±23.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVT, acute vasoreactivity testing; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; dPAP, diastolic PAP; mPAP, mean PAP; PVRI, pulmonary vascular
resistance index; SAP, systemic arterial pressure; dSAP, diastolic SAP; mSAP, mean SAP; DPG, diastolic pulmonary gradient; TPG, transpulmonary gradient.
reduction. This is most relevant for the relative PVRI decrease at maximum response from baseline during AVT, which shows approximately 10% more reduction when calculated by dPAP. Compared with PVRI reduction, for the relative decrease of PAP/SAP ratios, the difference was remarkably minor between dPAP and mPAP derived ratios. Percentage reduction during AVT of dPAP vs. mPAP (r=0.90, P<0.001), dPAP-derived PVRI vs. mPAP-derived PVRI (r=0.86, P<0.001), and dPAP/dSAP vs. mPAP/mSAP (r=0.89, P<0.001) showed strong correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pulmonary flow</th>
<th>Pulse pressure</th>
<th>PAWP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dPAP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.27, -0.02)*</td>
<td>0.31 (0.19, 0.42)**</td>
<td>0.04 (-0.08, 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.17 (-0.32, -0.00)*</td>
<td>0.44 (0.33, 0.54)**</td>
<td>0.07 (-0.06, 0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.26, -0.02)*</td>
<td>0.57 (0.48, 0.65)**</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.10, 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.28, 0.03)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.54, 0.70)**</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.11, 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPAP-derived PVRI (WU·m$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.58 (-0.66, -0.49)**</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22, 0.44)**</td>
<td>-0.04 (-0.16, 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.47 (-0.59, -0.33)**</td>
<td>0.44 (0.29, 0.56)**</td>
<td>-0.05 (-0.22, 0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP-derived PVRI (WU·m$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.63 (-0.69, -0.54)**</td>
<td>0.47 (0.37, 0.56)**</td>
<td>-0.04 (-0.17, 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.53 (-0.64, -0.39)**</td>
<td>0.48 (0.35, 0.60)**</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.18, 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPAP/dSAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.16, 0.09)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.07, 0.31)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.11, 0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.25, 0.07)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.27, 0.49)**</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.16, 0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP/mSAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.18, 0.07)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.24, 0.46)**</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.11, 0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.24, 0.08)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.42, 0.61)**</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.20, 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPG (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.25, -0.01)*</td>
<td>0.32 (0.20, 0.43)**</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.24, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.29, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.38, 0.59)**</td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.23, 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.25, -0.00)*</td>
<td>0.58 (0.49, 0.66)**</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.24, 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum response</td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.26, 0.07)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.57, 0.73)**</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.25, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as correlation coefficient (95% CI). *, P<0.05; ***, P<0.001. PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; dPAP, diastolic PAP; mPAP, mean PAP; PAWP, pulmonary arterial wedge pressure; PVRI, pulmonary vascular resistance index; SAP, systemic arterial pressure; dSAP, diastolic SAP; mSAP, mean SAP; DPG, diastolic pulmonary gradient; TPG, transpulmonary gradient.

Correlations with pulmonary flow, pulse pressure, and PAWP

Regression analyses demonstrated a negative correlation between dPAP- and mPAP-derived PVRI and pulmonary flow, which appears to be stronger for mPAP-derived PVRI (Table 2). Remarkably, there was a correlation between most dPAP- and mPAP variables and pulse pressure, showing stronger correlation for mPAP-derived parameters. No correlation was found between dPAP- or mPAP-variables.
During the observation period, 45 children (18.3%) died, and 13 (5.3%) received lung transplantation. Mean time from inclusion to death was 1.66±1.7 years, mean time from inclusion to transplantation was 3.34±2.1 years.

At baseline, neither dPAP, mPAP, nor dPAP/dSAP were significantly predictive for death/transplantation. However, higher baseline mPAP/mSAP, and higher baseline dPAP- and mPAP-derived PVRI were associated with time to death/transplantation (Table 3).

At maximum response, absolute and percentage decrease of dPAP and mPAP, DPG and TPG, as well as reduction of dPAP/dSAP and mPAP/mSAP were associated with time to death/transplantation, showing higher significance than corresponding baseline values (Table 3).

Remarkably, no predictive value was found for absolute/percentage reduction of PVRI during AVT, neither dPAP-
nor mPAP-derived (Table 3).

Kaplan-Meier analysis of all four variables using the median as cut-off value revealed percentage reduction of PAP/SAP (irrespective of dPAP or mPAP-derived) as best predictor for death/transplantation events [HR: 0.98 (95% CI: 0.97, 0.99); P<0.001; after adjustment for age and gender] (Figure 1). ROC curve revealed a PAP/SAP reduction of 20.4% as best cut-off [area under the curve 0.65 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.73)] (Figure 2).

Discussion

This study adds a number of new insights into hemodynamic assessment of children with IPAH/HPAH and its prognostic implications. First, dPAP and dPAP-derived parameters provided comparable hemodynamic information regarding severity of pulmonary vascular disease as mPAP and mPAP-derived parameters, however there was no obvious benefit for prognostic assessment of IPAH patients. Second, the absolute/percentage reduction of invasive hemodynamic parameters from baseline to maximum response during AVT appeared to carry high predictive value. Third, the ratio of PAP/SAP demonstrated to be the most consistent prognostic hemodynamic variable in pediatric IPAH/HPAH. Fourth, while PVRI at rest was related with outcome, reduction of PVRI during AVT (irrespectively of dPAP- or mPAP-derived) showed no predictive value.

Comparison dPAP versus mPAP

Since the 1st World Symposium on Pulmonary Hypertension (WSPH) in 1973, PH has been defined by the mPAP assessed by right heart catheterization (3). The dPAP is generally additionally measured, but was mostly disregarded for hemodynamic analysis in PH-patients for a long period of time.

Our data demonstrated dPAP and dPAP-derived variables being useful parameters for hemodynamic assessment of pulmonary vascular disease, similar reliable as mPAP and mPAP-derived parameters. In accordance with these findings, there were strong correlations between its responses to AVT. However, our results also highlighted, that for the data interpretation the specific characteristics of dPAP need to be considered, particularly the observed feature that dPAP variables showed smaller absolute reduction, but greater percentage decrease during AVT compared to mPAP parameters. This appeared to be most relevant for the PVRI reduction at maximum response from baseline during AVT, which showed approximately 10% more decrease when calculated by dPAP. Compared to PVRI, PAP/SAP ratio showed a percentage reduction during AVT that was more similar for both, dPAP and mPAP, and might therefore serve as a more robust parameter for the interpretation of AVT-response.

Correlations with pulmonary flow, pulse pressure, and PAWP

Increased right ventricle (RV) afterload resulting in RV failure is the main reason of mortality in PAH. RV afterload consists of static components (PVR and mPAP) which define the net forward flow, and pulsatile components responsible of flow and pressure oscillations. Pulsatile components include pulmonary arterial compliance, the ability of pulmonary arteries to accommodate oscillatory changes in volume, and pulmonary stroke volume, the amount of blood ejected in the pulmonary artery per cardiac cycle. Conventional hemodynamic parameters such as mPAP and PVRI only partially represent RV afterload, since they reflect only its static components and not its pulsatile components. In healthy subjects the pulsatile components contribute little to the RV afterload, due to the low resistance and high compliance of the pulmonary circulation. However in PAH patients their contribution to RV afterload becomes increasingly important due to arterial stiffening and increased reflection waves (16,17).

In our study, we assessed pulmonary flow and pulmonary pulse pressure, but unfortunately not heart rate to determine pulmonary stroke volume. Therefore, we were not able to calculate pulmonary arterial compliance. However, the correlations of our hemodynamic variables with pulmonary pulse pressure suggests a remarkable relationship. Our results did not detect significant differences of dPAP-derived compared to mPAP-derived variables in regard to dependencies on pulsatile components of RV afterload, i.e., pulmonary flow and pulmonary pulse pressure, as previously described in adult patients with postcapillary PH (10).

Impact on disease prognosis

Prognostic parameters are used in PAH patients to guide medical treatment. Hemodynamic prognostic variables recommended by current guidelines include only baseline values at catheterization, i.e., right atrial pressure, systemic venous saturation, pulmonary vascular resistance and
Figure 1 Freedom from long-term cardiovascular events (death or transplantation). Comparison of the value to predict survival (death/transplantation) of the percentage reduction at maximum response from baseline of the parameters (A) dPAP and mPAP, (B) dPAP-derived PVRI and mPAP-derived PVRI, (C) dPAP/dSAP and mPAP/mSAP, and (D) DPG and TPG. Kaplan-Meier analysis using the median as cut-off value revealed percentage reduction of PAP/SAP (dPAP or mPAP-derived) as best predictor for death/transplantation events. PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; SAP, systemic arterial pressure; dPAP, diastolic PAP; mPAP, mean PAP; dSAP, diastolic SAP; mSAP, mean SAP; PVRI, pulmonary vascular resistance index; DPG, diastolic pulmonary gradient; TPG, transpulmonary gradient; HR, hazard ratio.
cardiac index (4). Positive response during AVT is also suggested as predictor, however is not well defined in children (15). Furthermore, changes in hemodynamic parameters have not previously been shown to be predictive of outcome (4).

Our data demonstrated that in addition to the baseline value, the reduction (absolute and percentage) of a number of hemodynamic parameters from baseline to maximum response during AVT showed remarkable predictive value. While it is known that patients with vasoreactive PAH have better prognosis, the definition of AVT response is still a matter of debate in children with IPAH/HPAH. Therefore, this study may help to expand the evidence on this topic. According to our results, the PAP/SAP ratio demonstrated to be the most consistent prognostic hemodynamic variable in pediatric IPAH/HPAH. This highlights the importance of the PAP/SAP ratio especially in children where the dPAP and mPAP always have to be interpreted in the context of the simultaneously recorded SAP, since the absolute values of SAP are clearly age dependent and thus, the ratio facilitates the interpretation of PAP values in children (5,18).

Figure 2 ROC curve for the assessment of best cut-off for PAP/SAP reduction to predict survival. ROC curve revealed a PAP/SAP reduction of 20.4% as best cut-off. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; SAP, systemic arterial pressure; dPAP, diastolic PAP; mPAP, mean PAP; dSAP, diastolic SAP; mSAP, mean SAP.

Prognostic information of PVRI

Baseline PVRI is an established predictor of worse outcome in PAH patients reflecting the disease severity (19,20), and consequently, high baseline PVRI was recommended as a tool for risk stratification by the pediatric task force of the latest WSPH recommendations (4).

According to our results it has to be considered that the absolute or percentage reduction of PVRI during AVT is inappropriate for prognostication of children with IPAH/HPAH. This is in line with earlier observations of Rich et al. who addressed the difference with regard to outcome between “pressure responders” (who responded to AVT with significant decrease of mPAP and PVR) and exclusively “resistance responders” in adult IPAH (21). They postulated different levels of severity of pulmonary vascular disease as explanation for the different responses with exclusively “resistance responders” having more advanced pulmonary vascular disease. Subsequently, Sitbon et al. further defined that an absolute drop in PAP during AVT, and not a drop in PVR predicted outcome in adult IPAH patients on Calcium-channel-blocker-therapy (14). This was later confirmed also for pediatric PAH by Douwes et al. with
data from the TOPP-cohort, who identified change in PAP (Sibton criteria) and not PVR (Barst/Reveal criteria) predictive for outcome (15).

**Study limitations**

Several limitations of this study are worth considering. The TOPP registry is a prospective observational international disease registry with associated limitations. Because of the observational nature of the registry, no standardized AVT-protocol was prescribed, nor the use of predefined response criteria or treatment strategies. Baseline hemodynamic measurements and AVT tests were performed using different protocols, including variation in baseline conditions and used acute vasodilator agents. Hemodynamic values measured by each TOPP-investigator were reviewed by the executive board for completeness and plausibility but could not be reassessed due to unavailability of curve recordings. However, with its large sample size from a real-world population and its global generalizability, the TOPP-registry is unique in providing important insights into invasive diagnostic evaluation and outcome of children with PAH.

The derivation of PVRI by the use of dPAP is a limitation from a physical viewpoint. The resistance of the pulmonary circulation is calculated in analogy to Ohm’s law (R = V/I) and requires the potential difference or voltage (V) in the numerator of the equation. The voltage (V) or potential difference between two points is directly proportional to the current (I). Translated to the pulmonary circulation, pulmonary vascular resistance equals the mean pressure difference across the pulmonary circulation (AP = mPAP – mPAWP; i.e., TPG) divided by CO. By substituting mPAP with dPAP in this equation, the numerator is changed from TPG to DPG. However, as mentioned above, the difference between two mean pressures is required for the calculation of PVR. Therefore, it is imprecise to refer to “(dPAP – mPAWP)/CO” as “dPAP-derived PVR”. This index rather represents a flow-corrected expression of DPG (DPG/CO).

Regression analysis to assess the influence of pulmonary flow and PAWP on the selected hemodynamic parameters might be interpreted carefully, since physiologically exact this can only be answered by assessing changes in these parameters at different stages of flow and PAWP in a single patient during one examination (for example during exercise testing). It has been previously demonstrated that if PAWP is directly transmitted to dPAP, there is a disproportional increase in sPAP and mPAP depending on stroke volume. TPG increases, but the DPG is independent of both PAWP and stroke volume (10).

**Conclusions**

There is a strong relationship between dPAP and mPAP-derived variables. dPAP-derived parameters provided similar reliable hemodynamic information regarding severity and prognosis of pulmonary vascular disease as mPAP-derived parameters. In addition to the baseline values, absolute/percentage reduction of invasively assessed hemodynamic parameters from baseline to maximum response during AVT appeared to carry high predictive value, except for PVRI. Of these parameters, percentage reduction of PAP/SAP during AVT showed the greatest potential to expand the spectrum of predictors of outcome in children with IPAH/HIPAH.
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